

ITEM NO.7+8+9+10

COURT NO.1

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

ITEM 7

WRIT PETITION(S)(CIVIL) NO(S). 1022/1989

ALL INDIA JUDGES ASSOCIATION & ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

(IA No. 200712/2026 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION
IA No. 202355/2026 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION
IA No. 205248/2026 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION
IA No. 202996/2026 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION
IA No. 204835/2026 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION
IA No. 178357/2026 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION
IA No. 202829/2026 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION
IA No. 202370/2026 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION
IA No. 214282/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 214280/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 200433/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 202352/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 202998/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 184071/2025 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 214348/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 202999/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 202350/2026 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION
IA No. 200715/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 202366/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 203502/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 200431/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 202992/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 178214/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 201702/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 204831/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 200979/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 204020/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 202368/2026 - MODIFICATION
IA No. 202241/2026 - MODIFICATION OF COURT ORDER
IA No. 204833/2026 - MODIFICATION OF COURT ORDER
IA No. 200981/2026 - MODIFICATION OF COURT ORDER
IA No. 204021/2026 - MODIFICATION OF COURT ORDER)

ITEM 8

**WRIT PETITION(S)(CIVIL) NO(S). 986/2025
(IA No. 261808/2025 - GRANT OF INTERIM RELIEF)**

WITH

**W.P.(C) No. 775/2026 (X)
FOR ADMISSION.....
(IA No. 181645/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 181647/2026 - STAY APPLICATION)**

**W.P.(C) No. 880/2026 (X)
FOR ADMISSION
(IA No. 205789/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)**

**W.P.(C) No. 877/2026 (X)
FOR ADMISSION
(IA No. 205494/2026 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)**

ITEM 9

**WRIT PETITION(S)(CIVIL) NO(S). 914/2026
FOR ADMISSION**

ITEM 10

**WRIT PETITION(S)(CIVIL) NO(S). 913/2026
FOR ADMISSION
IA No. 215826/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.**

Date : 05-08-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE V. MOHANA**

**Mr. Siddharth Bhatnagar, Sr. Adv.(Amicus Curiae)
Mr. Ankit Yadav, AOR
Mr. Aditya Sidhra, Adv.
Ms. Ananya Nair, Adv.
Mr. Nadeem Afroz, Adv.
Ms. Gunjan Rathore, Adv.
Ms. Riya Shankar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Chinmayi Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. Chaitanya Sonkeria, Adv.**

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Akhilesh Kumar Pandey, AOR
Mr. Rakesh Dahiya, AOR

Mr. A. Venayagam Balan, AOR
Ms. T. Geetha, Adv.

Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, AOR
Mr. Paras Chauhan, Adv.
Ms. Kuheli Mitra, Adv.
Mr. Navneet Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Rajlakshmi, Adv.
Mr. Paras Jain, Adv.
Mr. Chaudhary Sameer Hasan, Adv.

Mr. Pravir Kumar Jain, AOR
Mrs. Amita Gupta, AOR

ITEM 8

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Dubey, AOR
Mr. Rakesh Kumar Tewari, Adv.
Ms. Shuchi Singh, Adv.
Mr. Devendra Kumar Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Kumar Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Krishna Kant Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Aayush Kesharwani, Adv.
Ms. Shivani Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Jitendra Bharti, Adv.
Mr. Deepak Goel, AOR
Ms. Pankhuri Tiwari, Adv.
Ms. Alka Goyal, Adv.
Mr. Shailesh Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Veer Singh,, Adv.
Mrs. Mamta Jain, Adv.
Mr. Uday Ram Bokadia, Adv.
Ms. Mamta Jain,, Adv.
Mr. Shubham Singh, Adv.
Mr. Udayram Bokadia,, Adv.
Ms. Pratiksha Jain, Adv.
Ms. Pratiksha Jain,, Adv.
Mr. Nishant Singh, AOR
Ms. Divya Garg,, Adv.
Ms. Divya Garg, Adv.

ITEM 9

Mr. Shivaraju H.B., Adv.

Mr. Vishwesh R Murnal, Adv.
Mr. Ashwin Kotemath, Adv.
Mr. Shantkumar Nitturmah, Adv.
Mr. Krishna Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Garv Vikas, Adv.
Mr. Jasveer Rana, Adv.
Mr. Harisha S.R., AOR

ITEM 10

Mr. Ajay Veer Singh,, Adv.
Ms. Mamta Jain,, Adv.
Mr. Udayram Bokadia,, Adv.
Mr. Shubham Singh,, Adv.
Ms. Pratiksha Jain,, Adv.
Ms. Divya Garg,, Adv.
Mr. Nishant Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Ms. A. Subhashini, AOR
Mr. T. V. Ratnam, AOR
Mr. Karan Bharihoke, AOR
Ms. G. Indira, AOR

Mr. Gopal Jha, AOR
Mr. Shreyash Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Suresh Shambhuprasad Kanojia, Adv.
Mr. Amit Chandramohan Jha, Adv.
Mr. Eresh Roshan Swain, Adv.
Mr. Atul Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Ramji Dwivedi, Adv.

Mr. Satish Kumar, AOR

Mr. C Raghavendren, Adv.
Mr. Devansh Tyagi, Adv.
Mrs. C Rubavathi, Adv.
Mr. M Vishnu Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Gupta, Adv.
Mr. M. A. Chinnasamy, AOR
Mr. Ajay Kumar, AOR
Mr. Shibashish Misra, AOR

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR
Ms. Arushi Kulshrestha, Adv.
Ms. Muskan Bensla, Adv.
Ms. Mrinmoyee Das, Adv.

Mr. Anandh Kannan N., AOR

Mr. K. Ram Kumar, AOR
Dr. J. P. Dhanda, AOR
M/S. Parekh & Co., AOR
Ms. Hemantika Wahi, AOR
Mr. T. G. Narayanan Nair, AOR

Mr. Krishnanand Pandeya, AOR

Mr. S. Sukumaran, Adv.
Mr. Anand Sukumar, Adv.
Mr. Bhupesh Kumar Pathak, Adv.
Mrs. Ruche Anand, Adv.
Ms. Meera Mathur, AOR

Mr. Kanhaiya Priyadarshi, AOR
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, AOR
Mr. T. L. Garg, AOR

Mr. Rohit Swarup, Adv.
Mr. Sukhamrit Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ashish Kandpal, Adv.
Ms. Sheetal Kandpal, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Singh, Adv.
Mr. P.R. Abhimanyu Karthikeya Raja, Adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup, AOR

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, AOR
Mr. Rahul Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Gaur, Adv.
Ms. Riddhi Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Deepika Singh, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, AOR

Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, AOR
Mr. Nishant Sharma, Adv.
Ms. D Tejaswi Reddy, Adv.
Ms. Adviteeya, Adv.

Ms. Kumud Lata Das, AOR
Mr. I.S.Minhas, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Saini, Adv.
Mr. Harsh Ajay Singh, Adv.
Mr. Puneet, Adv.

Dr. Sushil Balwada, AOR
Mr. Nandlal Kumar Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Ritul Tandon, Adv.
Mr. Arjun Raghuvanshi, Adv.
Mr. Onkar Nath Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sahil, Adv.

Ms. A. Sumathi, AOR

Mr. G. Prakash, AOR

Mr. Surya Kant, AOR

Ms. Adviteeya, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, AOR

Mr. Rajiv Mehta, AOR

M/S. Corporate Law Group, AOR

Mr. K M Nataraj, A.S.G.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Mr. Nalin Kohli, Adv.

Mr. B K Satija, Adv.

Mr. Sharath Nambiar, Adv.

Mr. Raman Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Aaditya Dixit, Adv.

Mr. R. R. Rajesh, Adv.

Mr. Pratyush Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Suvesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Sudarshan Lamba (aor), Adv.

Mr. Gopal Balwant Sathe, AOR

Mr. R. Sathish, AOR

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, AOR

Mr. P. I. Jose, AOR

Mr. P S Chandralekha, Adv.

Mr. Virat Shrivastava, Adv.

Mr. Sridhar Akula, Adv.

Mrs. Bina Gupta, AOR

Mr. R. N. Keswani, AOR

Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, AOR

Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, AOR

Mr. V. K. Sidharthan, AOR

Mr. B. D. Sharma, AOR

Mr. Raj Kumar Mehta, AOR

Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma, AOR

Mr. Anil Shrivastav, A.A.G./AOR

Ms. Avni Shrivastav, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, AOR

Mr. Ajit Pudussery, AOR

Mr. Pradeep Misra, AOR

Mr. Haresh Raichura, AOR

Mrs. Saroj Raichura, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Kumar, AOR

Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR
Ms. Shradha Zutshi, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, AOR
Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, AOR
Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, AOR
Mr. T. V. George, AOR
Dr. N. Visakamurthy, AOR
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR
Mr. P. K. Jain, AOR
Mrs. Anjani Aiyagari, AOR
Mr. Ratan Kumar Choudhuri, AOR
Mr. T. Harish Kumar, AOR
Mr. Arun K. Sinha, AOR
Mr. Parijat Sinha, AOR
Mr. K. R. Sasiprabhu, AOR

Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, AOR

Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, AOR
M/S. Arputham Aruna And Co, AOR
Mr. Kishan Datta, AOR
Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR
M/S. Gagrath And Co, AOR
Mr. Anil Nag, AOR
Ms. N. Annapoorani, AOR
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, AOR
Mr. Abhish Kumar, AOR

Mr. Reepak Kansal, Adv.
Mrs. Geeta Jain Aggarwal, Adv.
Ms. Alankrita Chowdhury, Adv.
Mr. Shiv Kumar Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Eliza Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Ritesh Dhir, Adv.
Mr. Dushyant Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Gaur, Adv.
Mr. Pravir Choudhary, AOR

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri, AOR
Mr. Pranjal Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Kunal Chaudhary, Adv.

Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, AOR
Mr. M. Veerappa, AOR
Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, AOR

Mr. T. Mahipal, AOR

Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AOR

Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.

Ms. Vanshika Singh, Adv.

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

Mr. Kailash Prashad Pandey, AOR

Mr. B.k. Mishra Sr Advocate, Adv.

Mr. S.L. Upadhyay Sr. Advocate, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Mudgal, Adv.

Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Akhileshwar Jha, Adv.

Mr. Anupam Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Kumar Solanki, Adv.

Mr. Satvik Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Savita Kaushik, Adv.

Ms. Shreya Jha, Adv.

Ms. Asmita Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Sawati Vishan, Adv.

Ms. Manisha Chawla, Adv.

Ms. Divya Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Suresh Kumari, Adv.

Mr. D.p Singh, Adv.

Mr. Desh Pal Singh, Adv.

Mr. Jogendra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Jitender Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Sanjiv Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar, AG, Meghalaya

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR

Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.

Mr. Z.H. Issac Haiding, Adv.

Mr. Daniel Stone Lyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Marbiang Khongwir, Adv.

Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR

Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Nidhi Mittal, AOR

Mr. Aayush Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Rana Ranjit Singh, AOR

Mr. Vivek Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ravish Singh, Adv.

Mrs. Akanksha Singh, Adv.

Mrs. Sweta Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Garg, Adv.

Mr. Aniket Rajput, Adv.

Ms. Saiyam Maan, Adv.
Ms. Parul Kanojia, Adv.
Mr. Nishant Kashyap, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Shekhar, Adv.

Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhania, AOR
Ms. Namita Choudhary, AOR

Mr. Aravindh S., AOR
Ms. Jyotika Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Raj Kishor Choudhary, AOR
Mr. Shakeel Ahmed, Adv.
Mr. Vikram Patralekh, Adv.
Mrs. Pratibha Singh, Adv.
Ms. Shalini Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Vineet Jindal, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Syed Faizan Ali, Adv.
Ms. Gulfeshan Javed, Adv.

Ms. Manisha Ambwani, AOR

Mr. Ankit Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Avneesh Arputham, AOR

Mr. Pradeep Kumar Rai, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Modoyia Kayina, Adv.
Mr. Vinay Kumar Rai, Adv.
Mrs. Rajshree Rai, Adv.
Mr. Virendra Singh, Adv.
Mr. Devi Prasad Semwal, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Gehlot, Adv.
Mr. Anil Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Paras Chauhan, Adv.
Ms. Farhat Naim, Adv.
Ms. Kajri Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Pratap Rai, Adv.
M/S R And R Law Associates, AOR

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.
Ms. Chitransha Singh Sikarwar, Adv.
Ms. Disha Jham, AOR

Mr. Lenin Singh Hijam, AG, Manipur
Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR
Mr. Karun Shamra, Adv.

Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.
Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, AOR
Mr. D. L. Chidananda, AOR

Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, AOR
Mrs. Aditi Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Neel Kamal Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Udit Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Smarhar Singh, AOR
Ms. Shweta Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Surjeet Singh, Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Mohd Asim, Adv.

Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, AOR
Mr. Satyalipsu Ray, Adv.
Ms. Priyal Sheth, Adv.

Mr. Satish Pandey, AOR
Mr. Manmohan Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Akbar Ali, Adv.
Ms. Jay Jaimini Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Smriti Kumari, Adv.
Ms. Alka Tripathi, Adv.
Dr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vikrant Rana, Adv.
Mr. Prabhoo Dayal Tiwari, Adv.
Ms. Surajita Pattanaik, Adv.
Ms. Sadiya Shakeel, Adv.
Mr. S Faheem Ahmed, Adv.
Mr. Raj Ranjay Singh, Adv.
Mr. Braj Kishore Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Chritarth Palli, AOR
Mr. Priyanshu Upadhyay, AOR

Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, AOR
Mr. Nishant Sharma, Adv.
Ms. D Tejaswi Reddy, Adv.
Ms. Adviteeya, Adv.

Mr. Gunnam Venkateswara Rao, AOR
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tyagi, AOR

Ms. Mayuri Raghuvanshi, AOR
Dr. Mayuri Raghuvanshi, Adv.
Mr. Vyom Raghuvanshi, Adv.

Ms. Akanksha Rathore, Adv.

Mr. Satyajeet Kumar, AOR
Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR

Mr. S.D. Sanjay, Advocate General (Bihar)
Mr. Manish Kumar, AOR
Mr. Divyansh Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Saurav, Adv.

Mr. Shrey Kapoor , AOR
Ms. Esha Mazumdar , AOR
Mr. S. Gowthaman, AOR

Mr. K N Balgopal, AG Nagaland, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Maninder Acharya, Sr. Adv.
Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.
Mr. Prang Newmai, Adv.
Ms. Yanmi Phazang, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Sangal, AOR
Mr. Vinod Sharma, AOR
M/S. Plr Chambers And Co., AOR

Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AOR
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.
Ms. Vanshika Singh, Adv.

Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AOR
Mr. Nimesh Bhatt, Adv.

Dr. Mayuri Raghuvanshi, Adv.
Mr. Vyom Raghuvanshi, Adv.
Ms. Akanksha Rathore, Adv.
Mr. Subhro Prokas Mukherjee, AOR

Mr. Abhay Kumar, AOR
Mr. Shagun Ruhil, Adv.
Mr. Karan Chopra, Adv.
Ms. Sakshi Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar Mishra-i, AOR
Mr. Amit Gupta, AOR

Mr. Jana Kalyan Das, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Devashish Das, AOR
Ms. Anusha Nagarajan, Adv.
Ms. Anandini Kumari Rathore, Adv.
Mr. Tejasvi Mahajan, Adv.

Mr. Lokesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Sahil Tagotra, AOR
Ms. Shreya Kasera, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Pandey, Adv.

Ms. Sweena Madhavan Nair, AOR

Mr. Niranjan Sahu, AOR

Ms. Bina Madhavan, AOR
Ms. Shubhangi Arora, Adv.
Ms. Shruti Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Pal, AOR
Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, AOR

Mr. Purushottam Sharma Tripathi, AOR
Mr. S.J.Amith, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Chandra Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Amit, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Prakhar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Vani Vyas, Adv.

Mr. Atul Kumar, AOR
Ms. Archana Kumari, Adv.
Ms. Sweety Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Viplove Parashar, Adv.
Mr. Harsh Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Diksha Joshi, Adv.

Mr. Anup Kumar Rattan, AG, H.P.
Mr. Kartikeya Rastogi, DAG
Inderdeep Kaur Raina, Adv.
Mr. Akshay Girish Ringe, AOR

Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AOR
Ms. Yachna Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Arushi Chopra, Adv.

Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, AOR

Mr. Sravan Kumar Karanam, AOR
Mr. Kumar Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. P. Venkatraju, Adv.
Ms. M. Harshini, Adv.

Ms. Shradha Agrawal, AOR
Mr. Naushad Ahmed Khan, Adv.

Ms. Sugandh Rathor, AOR

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, AOR
Mrs. Shashi Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.
Ms. Ruhi Sultana, Adv.
Mr. Robin Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Jain, AOR
Mr. Santosh Mishra, AOR

Mr. Ahanthem Henry, Adv.
Mr. Ahanthem Rohen Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mohan Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aniket Rajput, Adv.
Ms. Khoisnam Nirmala Devi, Adv.
Mr. Yesu Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Tanay Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Kumar Bodhani, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Mihir, AOR

Mr. Lakshmeesh S. Kamath, AOR
Ms. Samriti Ahuja, Adv.
Ms. Aditi Prakash, Adv.
Ms. Anushka Singhal, Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Thakur, AOR
Mr. Ankur Kashyap, AOR

Mr. Maninderjit Singh Bedi, AG, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Talha Abdul Rehman, Adv.
Ms. Nupur Kumar, AOR

Mr. Raghvendra Kumar, AOR

Mr. Vijay Narayan, AG, Tamil Nadu, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Prashanto Chandra Sen, AAG, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Rupali Francesca Samuel, AOR
Mr. Akash Srinanda, Adv.
Ms. Radha Bhujel, Adv.

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, AOR
Mr. Sushant Dogra, Adv.

Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR

Mr. Dilip Annasaheb Taur, AOR

Mr. Amol V Deshmukh, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, AOR

Ms. Sakshi Banga, Adv.

Mr. Shivaraju H.B., Adv.

Mr. Vishwesh R Murnal, Adv.

Mr. Ashwin Kotemath, Adv.

Mr. Shantkumar Nitturmath, Adv.

Mr. Krishna Joshi, Adv.

Mr. Garv Vikas, Adv.

Mr. Jasveer Rana, Adv.

Mr. Harisha S.R., AOR

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Vijay Hansaria, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Deepika Kalia, Adv.

Mr. Sudeep Chandra, Adv.

Mr. Mayank Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Nishant Singh, Adv.

Mr. Kushagra Bajpai, Adv.

Mr. Tilak Raj Pasi, Adv.

Ms. Khushi, Adv.

Mr. Anand Sukumar, AOR

Mr. Angad Baxi, AOR

Ms. Narain Rashika Ashok, AOR

Mr. Vipin Nair, AOR

Mr. Aditya Narendranath, Adv.

Mrs. Puspita Basak, Adv.

Mrs. M.b. Ramya, Adv.

Mrs. Deeksha Gupta, Adv.

Mr. P B Sashaankh, Adv.

Mr. Haresh Nair, Adv.

Mrs. Madhavi Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar, Adv.

Mr. G. Balaji, AOR

Mr. Saktimay Chakraborty AG Tripura, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR

Mr. Saurabh Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. Deepayan Dutta, Adv.

Mr. Devajit Saikia, AG Of Assam,

Ms. Padmini, Adv.

Mrs. Pragya Baghel, AOR

Mr. Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Advocate General
Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Sr. A.A.G.
Mr. B. K. Satija, Sr. A.A.G.
Dr. Hemant Gupta, A.A.G.
Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, AOR
Ms. Payal Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Sabarni Som, Adv.
Mr. Rony John, Adv.
Mr. Aman Dev Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Bedi, Adv.
Mr. Nikunj Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Vandana Sharma Bhandari, AOR
Mr. Kunal Mimani, AOR

Mr. Shashank Singh, AOR
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Varun Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sneha Chandna, Adv.
Mr. Milind Modi, Adv.
Mr. Shashikant Chaubey, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha Purushotham, AOR
Mr. Ankit Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Prince Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Mohneesh Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vikram Vijay Pratap Singh, Adv.

Mr. Nishant Awana, AOR

Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli, AOR

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Adv.
Mr. Piyush Viswas, Adv.
Mr. Sunny Sachin Rawat, Adv.
Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR

Mr. Devvrat, AOR
Ms. Harshita Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Tamanna Khan, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Singh, Adv.
Ms. Irul Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Mridu J.mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. Subas Ray, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Krishnan, AOR
Mr. Som Raj Choudhury, AOR

Mr. Rohitashya Roy AG, Jharkhand

Mr. Kumar Anurag Singh Standing Counsel, Adv.
Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AOR
Mr. Shwetank Singh, Adv.
Ms. Riya Dhingra, Adv.
Mr. Utkarsh Anand, Adv.

Mr. Biswajit Deb, AG, Mizoram
Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AOR
Ms. Shwetank Singh, Adv.
Ms. Riya Dhingra, Adv.
Mr. Utkarsh Anand, Adv.

Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR
Mr. Manu Krishnan G, AOR

Mr. Varun Varma, Adv.
Mr. Sarthak Upadhyaya, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Khari, Adv.
Mr. T. Hari Hara Sudhan, AOR
Mr. Himali Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Chandril Chattopadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Manaj Sarkar, Adv.
Mr. Aastha Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Goyal, Adv.

Mr. K.M.Nataraj, A.S.G.
Mr. Neeraj Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Verma, Adv.
Mr. Vatsal Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Krishna Kant Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Piyush Beriwal, Adv.
Mr. Harish Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Shashwat Parihar, Adv.
Mr. Mukul Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR
Dr. N Vishakamurthy (aor), Adv.
Mr. B.K Satija, Adv.
Mr. Vinayak Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Padmesh Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Sharath Nambiar, Adv.
Mr. Nalin Kholi, Adv.

Mr. G. N. Reddy, AOR

Ms. Preetika Dwivedi, AOR
Mr. Abhisek Mohanty, Adv.
Mr. Ansh Rajauria, Adv.

Ms. Radhika Gautam, AOR

Mr. Nitish Kumar Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Aswathi M.k., AOR

Mr. Surojit Nath Mitra, Advocate General
Mr. Uddyam Mukherjee, AOR
Mr. Swapnil Pattanayak, Adv.
Ms. Kratika Kushwaha, Adv.

Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR

Mr. Amit Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Siddhartha Iyer, AOR
Ms. Muskan Nagpal, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Adv.
Mrs. Deepeika Kalia, Adv.
Mr. Sudeep Chandra, Adv.
Ms. Khushi, Adv.
Mr. Pareekshit Bishnoi, Adv.
Mr. Piyush Biswas, Adv.
Ms. Pariksha, Adv.
Miss Pariksha, AOR

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR

Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Varij Nayan Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR
Mr. D. K. Devesh, AOR

Mr. Sandeep Sudhakar Deshmukh, AOR
Mr. Nishant Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ankur Savadikar, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Maibam Nabaghanashyam Singh, AOR

Ms. Asmita Singh, AOR

Ms. Patil Rekha Chandra Gouda, AOR

Mr. Kumar Murlidhar, AOR
Mr. Sanatan Ghosh, Adv.

Mr. Vedant Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Suriya Kannan Sabanayagam, Adv.
Mr. P. Ashok, Adv.
Ms. Smita Amratlal Vora, AOR

Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Dwivedi, AOR

Mr. Shantanu Krishna, AOR
Ms. Vaishnavi Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Kaushik Choudhury, AOR

Mr. Piyush Dwivedi, AOR
Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Braj Kishore Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Diksha Verma, Adv.
Mr. Jatinder Bir Singh, Adv.
Ms. Usha Bhaskar, Adv.

Mr. Rajendra Prasad, Advocate General
Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, A.A.G.
Mr. Shivansh B Pandya, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR

Mr. K Rajeev, Adv.
Mr. Bijo Mathew Joy, AOR
Ms. Gifty Mariam Joseph, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate General
Ms. Ankita Sharma, AOR
Mr. Arjun D Singh, Adv.
Ms. Ishika Neogi, Adv.

Mr. Daya Krishan Sharma, AOR
Mr. Rohit Vats, Adv.
Mr. Amodh Bhiduri, Adv.
Mr. Vikrant Nirula, Adv.

Mr. Anandh Kannan N., AOR

Ms. Pyoli, AOR
Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR
Ms. Enakshi Mukhopadhyay Siddhanta, AOR

Mr. Venkata Raghuvamsy D., AOR

Ms. Vishakha, AOR
Mr. Utkarsh Raj Sahay, Adv.

Ms. Manju Jetley, AOR
Ms. Sujata Kurdukar, AOR

Mr. P. S. Sudheer, AOR
Mr. Amit Sharma, AOR
Ms. Taruna Ardhendumauli Prasad, AOR

Mr. Ashutosh Dubey, AOR
Mrs. Rajshri Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Amit P Shahi, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rajendra Anbhule, Adv.
Mrs. Sona Khan, Adv.
Mr. H B Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Rishabh Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Amit Pai, AOR
Ms. Pankhuri Bhardwaj, Adv.
Ms. Bhavana Duhoon, Adv.
Mr. Arjun Sagar, Adv.
Mr. Shathaayu Patil, Adv.

Mr. Ravi Bharuka, AOR

Mr. Vivek Sharma, AOR
Dr. Vijay Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ashish Pandey, AOR
Mr. T. V. Ratnam, AOR

Mr. Ajay Kumar Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Adrut Ashish, Adv.
Mr. Vinod Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Bhatt, Adv.
Mr. Braj Kishore Mishra, AOR

Mr. S N Babulkar Sr Adv, Advocate General
Dr. Abhishek Atrey, Adv.
Ms. Ishita Bist, Adv.
Ms. Ambika Atrey, Adv.
Mr. Navneet Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Atrey, AOR

Mr. Adarsh Upadhyay, AOR
Ms. Pallavi Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Pachauri, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, AOR

Mr. Ashish Giri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. H. D. Thanvi, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Harshit Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Kshitish Bikarmia, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Matoliya, AOR

For Impleader

Ms. Komal Mundhara, AOR
Mr. Aniket Bhattacharyya, Adv.
Ms. Laxita Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Sudhir Kumar Saxena, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Aviral Saxena, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R., Sr. Adv.
Mr. Zulfikar Ali P.S., AOR
Ms. Lebina Baby, Adv.
Ms. Anna Oommen, Adv.

Mr. Onkar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Avni Singh, Adv.
Ms. Anamika Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Pragati Katiyar, Adv.
Ms. Shreya Singh, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Singh, Adv.
Mr. R.K. Sachdeva, Adv.
Mr. Raj Narayan Pal, Adv.
Ms. Ragini Sachdeva, Adv.

Mr. Pitambar Acharya, AG Odisha
Ms. Sanjana Saddy, AOR
Mr. Shailja Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Adv.

Ms. Shashi Kiran Shetty, AG, Karnataka
Mr. Rajesh Inamdar, AOR
Adoorya Bomakka, Adv.
Ms. Anshika Vaishnaw, Adv.
Mr. B.L.N. Sanjit, Adv.
Ms. Rakshita Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ritik Grover, Adv.

ITEM 8

Mr. Divyakant Lahoti, AOR
Mr. Kartik Lahoti, Adv.
Ms. Akanksha Soni, Adv.
Ms. Shubheksha Dwivedi, Adv.
Ms. Vindhya Mehra, Adv.
Ms. Praveena Bisht, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Maheshwari, Adv.
Ms. Shreya Gokel, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, AOR
Mrs. Aditi Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Singh Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Neel Kamal Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Udit Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Singh, Sr. Adv./AG M.P.
Ms. Manisha T. Karia, Sr. Adv./AAG
Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, A.A.G.
Mr. Rudraditya Khare, D.A.G.
Mr. Harmeet Singh Ruprah, AOR
Mr. Shantanu Krishna, Adv.
Mr. Kanishk Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Preetika Dwivedi, AOR
Mr. Abhisek Mohanty, Adv.
Mr. Ansh Rajauria, Adv.

Mr. Anupam Mishra, AOR
Mr. Jenis V Francis, Adv.
Mr. Harikumar V, Adv.
Mr. Chakma Purnojyoti, Adv.
Mr. Dev Prakash Bhardwaj, Adv.
Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, Adv.
Ms. Neha, Adv.
Mr. Mohit Jumnani, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The larger issue that falls for consideration in these applications and batch of petitions pertains to the enhancement in the age of superannuation/retirement of judicial officers on an all-India basis.
2. When this matter was heard on 22.07.2026, an interim arrangement was evolved, whereby, if the State Governments, in consultation with the jurisdictional High Courts, resolved to increase the age of retirement beyond 60 years, judicial officers would be allowed to continue in service until they attain the enhanced age of retirement.

3. All the States and the High Courts were, thus, requested to take a time-bound decision on the issue and apprise this Court. The learned Advocates General of the States were requested to remain present and assist us in the matter.

4. Pursuant thereto, the State of Assam and the NCT of Delhi have sought further time to comply with our order dated 22.07.2026, whereas some other States, such as Telangana and Andhra Pradesh, have already enhanced the age of retirement to 61 years. The learned Additional Advocate General of the State of Madhya Pradesh also informs that, taking the recommendation made by the Full Court of the High Court into account, the State is willing to consider increasing the age of superannuation but a final decision is yet to be taken.

5. However, most of the States have declined to enhance the age of superannuation, citing one or both of the following reasons for their disagreement:

(i) the disparity in the age of retirement between the Judicial Officers and employees of the various Departments of the State Government; and

(ii) an anticipated additional financial burden on the State exchequer.

6. With regard to the first reason, learned senior counsel/counsel for the Applicants/Petitioners rely upon this Court's opinion in All India Judges Association v.

Union of India, reported in (1993) 4 SCC 288, the relevant extracts whereof are to the following effect:

"7. It is not necessary to repeat here what has been stated in the judgment under review while dealing with the same contentions raised there. We cannot however, help observing that the failure to realize the distinction between the judicial service and the other services is at the bottom of the hostility displayed by the review petitioners to the directions given in the judgment. The judicial service is not service in the sense of 'employment'. The Judges are not employees. As members of the judiciary, they exercise the sovereign judicial power of the State. They are holders of public offices in the same way as the members of the council of ministers and the members of the legislature. When it is said that in a democracy such as ours, the executive, the legislature and the judiciary constitute the three pillars of the State, what is intended to be conveyed is that the three essential functions of the State are entrusted to the three organs of the State and each one of them in turn represents the authority of the State. However, those who exercise the State power are the Ministers, the Legislators and the Judges, and not the members of their staff who implement or assist in implementing their decisions. The council of ministers or the political executive is different from the secretarial staff or the administrative executive which carries out the decisions of the political executive. Similarly, the Legislators are different from the legislative staff. So also the Judges from the judicial staff. The parity is between the political executive, the Legislators and the Judges and not between the Judges and the administrative executive. In some democracies like the USA, members of some State judiciaries are elected as much as the members of the legislature and the heads of the State. The Judges, at whatever level they may be, represent the State and its authority unlike the administrative executive or the members of the other services. The members of the other

services, therefore, cannot be placed on a par with the members of the judiciary, either constitutionally or functionally.

XXXX

9. So much for the contention of the review petitioners that the directions given by this Court would lead to the demand from the members of the other services for similar service conditions. It is high time that all concerned appreciated that for the reasons pointed out above there cannot be any link between the service conditions of the Judges and those of the members of the other services. It is true that under Article 309 of the Constitution, the recruitment and conditions of service of the members of the subordinate judiciary are to be regulated by the Acts of the appropriate legislature and pending such legislation, the President and the Governor or their nominees, as the case may be, are empowered to make rules regulating their recruitment and the conditions of service. It is also true that after the Council of States makes the necessary declaration under Article 312, it is the Parliament which is empowered to create an All India Judicial Service which will include posts not inferior to the post of District Judge as defined under Article 236. However, this does not mean that while determining the service conditions of the members of the judiciary, a distinction should not be made between them and the members of the other services or that the service conditions of the members of all the services should be the same. As it is, even among the other services, a distinction is drawn in the matter of their service conditions. This Court has in the judgment under review, pointed out that the linkage between the service conditions of the judiciary and that of the administrative executive was an historical accident. The erstwhile rulers constituted, only one service, viz., the Indian Civil Service for recruiting candidates for the judicial as well as the administrative service and it is from among the successful candidates in the examination held for such recruitment, that some were sent to the administrative side while others

to the judicial side. Initially, there was also no clear demarcation between the judicial and executive services and the same officers used to perform judicial and executive functions. Since the then Government had failed to make the distinction between the two services right from the stage of the recruitment, its logical consequences in terms of the service conditions could not be avoided. With the inauguration of the Constitution and the separation of the State power distributed among the three branches, the continuation of the linkage has become anachronistic and is inconsistent with the constitutional provisions. As pointed out earlier, the parity in status is no longer between the judiciary and the administrative executive but between the judiciary and the political executive. Under the Constitution, the judiciary is above the administrative executive and any attempt to place it on a par with the administrative executive has to be discouraged. The failure to grasp this simple truth is responsible for the contention that the service conditions of the judiciary must be comparable to those of the administrative executive and any amelioration in the service conditions of the former must necessarily lead to the comparable improvement in the service conditions of the latter."

[Emphasis supplied]

7. On the strength of the above reasons, this Court had confirmed its 1992 judicial decision¹ to increase the age of retirement of judicial officers from 58 to 60 years.

8. Concomitantly, Mr. Siddharth Bhatnagar, learned *Amicus Curiae*, through his written submissions, has also pointed out that for various other professional services under the Union or State Governments, distinct service conditions and ages of superannuation are provided for.

¹ All India Judges Association v. Union of India, (1992) 1 SCC 119.

9. Suffice it to say that the principles laid down by this Court in the above cited judgement continue to be relevant and deserve to be given due weightage by the State Governments.

10. The second point of opposition, namely, the purported additional financial burden, has also been strongly opposed by the learned senior counsel/counsel representing the judicial officers. They point out that the judicial officers, upon retirement, will be entitled to be paid a lump-sum amount of a variety of retiral benefits, besides a recurring pension, which is ordinarily pegged at half of the salary being paid to them while in service. By increasing the age of superannuation, the State would have to begin payment of pension at a later date. Contrarily, if the position becomes vacant, it is submitted, the State will need to conduct recruitments in its annual cycle and pay regular salary to the new incumbent.

11. Accordingly, the learned senior counsel/counsel for the Petitioners/Applicants have attempted to make out a case that the financial burden on the State would be much lower than what is anticipated by the Respondents. Furthermore, it is contended that the benefits of experience gained by permitting seasoned judges to continue in service far outweighs any marginal cost incurred by the State.

12. To buttress this submission, our attention has been drawn to Paragraph 29 of *All India Judges Association*

(supra), where this Court has elaborately dealt with the aspect of the financial burden on the State exchequer:

"29. The alleged financial burden that would be thrown on the State exchequer on account of the enhancement of the superannuation age as a result of the payment of the maximum salary in the pay scale to the officers for a further period of 2 or 5 years as the case may be, and on account of the higher outlay on their retiral benefits, is negligible considering the enormous advantage that the administration of justice and the society at large would derive from the enhancement in the age of retirement. The additional financial outlays have also to be weighed against those occasioned by the training of the new recruits, comparatively lower and slower rate of disposal of cases, higher rate of incorrect decisions and the consequent more number of appeals etc.

Further, a simple arithmetical exercise would show that there is in fact little extra financial outlay involved. For, as against the payment of the maximum salary for another 2 or 5 years as the case may be, we have to calculate, for that period, the salary of the new recruit and the pension of the incumbent. The further contention that it would affect the employment conditions in different States is equally misconceived since all over India there are not more than about 10,000 judicial posts and most of the judicial officers after their retirement come to be appointed to the quasi-judicial or administrative tribunals. Thus, we see no merit in any of the objections to the enhancement of the retiring age."

[Emphasis supplied]

13. We have considered these submissions and have perused the record, including the detailed note and summaries prepared by the learned *Amicus Curiae* and the learned senior counsel/counsel for the Applicants/Petitioners.

14. On a *prima facie* consideration of the above

submissions, it appears to us that neither of the reasons assigned by the State Governments for declining an enhancement in the age of retirement is tenable. Nevertheless, this Court must endeavour to resolve such like issues through a consensus. We, therefore, impress upon all the State Governments to revisit the issue re: enhancement of age of judicial officers from 60 to 62 years, keeping in mind the reasons stated above and without being influenced by the age of superannuation prescribed for the other Government employees.

15. We may also clarify that the State Governments need not be constrained to take a specific decision only because the jurisdictional High Courts have expressed any reservation against enhancement of age of superannuation. The viewpoint of the respective High Court shall, it goes without saying, be considered by this Court while taking a final decision. However, the State Governments are expected to take their own independent call in the light of the factors mentioned above. We would appreciate it if the competent authorities in the State Governments take up this issue on an urgent basis with a pragmatic approach and a decision is taken within two weeks.

16. The High Courts are also requested to look into the matter in the light of the observations made hereinabove and pass appropriate resolutions so as to strengthen the hands of the State Governments. Let such Full Court Resolutions be

passed at the earliest, if not already so passed.

17. All the State Governments through the Advocate Generals and the High Courts through the Registrar Generals are requested to submit their status reports with an advance copy to learned *Amicus Curiae* by 2 P.M. on 31.08.2026 to enable him to collate and compile the same.

18. Needless to state that if the State Governments resolve to enhance the age of retirement, such benefits shall be immediately extended to the retiring officers and to such other officers who are entitled to it in terms of the interim order dated 22.07.2026. We may, however, clarify that such benefit shall not be available to any retired officer who has since obtained subsequent employment or has been appointed to any other office of profit under the Union Government or any State Government.

19. Post these matters for further consideration on 01.09.2026. The Registry is directed not to tag W.P.(C) No.1022 of 1989 with the other petitions.

(GEETA AHUJA)
DY. REGISTRAR

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
DY. REGISTRAR